Message

From: Gillespie, Andrew [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=DCE99ECE87694A06B3009D7756E2A89E-GILLESPIE, ANDREW]

Sent: 11/25/2019 2:55:54 PM

To: Montilla, Alex [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=b148b5335ff44aea8970035668052f01-Montilla, Alex]; Andrew Stoeckle [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=user86405840];

Buckley, Timothy [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=197a3461d9824a17850f34cc2b0b37fe-Buckley, Timothyl; Barrette, Michael

[/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=237b7449b0084c8faea3a38d2bb31ea2-Mbarre04]

Subject: RE: Potential reorganization of PFAS tools and pages...

Sounds good to me.

Andrew J. R. Gillespie, Ph. D.
Associate Director, US EPA/ORD/CEMM
ORD Executive Lead for PFAS R&D

Office 919 541 3655 Cell Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

From: Montilla, Alex < Montilla. Alex@epa.gov> Sent: Monday, November 25, 2019 8:16 AM

To: Andrew Stoeckle <Andrew.Stoeckle@erg.com>; Buckley, Timothy <Buckley.Timothy@epa.gov>; Barrette, Michael

<Barrette.Michael@epa.gov>

Cc: Gillespie, Andrew < Gillespie. Andrew@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Potential reorganization of PFAS tools and pages...

I think Mike's suggestion makes sense.

am

From: Andrew Stoeckle < Andrew. Stoeckle@erg.com >

Sent: Friday, November 22, 2019 11:20 AM

To: Buckley, Timothy <<u>Buckley.Timothy@epa.gov</u>>; Barrette, Michael <<u>Barrette.Michael@epa.gov</u>>; Montilla, Alex <<u>Montilla.Alex@epa.gov</u>>

Cc: Gillespie, Andrew < Gillespie. Andrew@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Potential reorganization of PFAS tools and pages...

Adding to what Mike wrote, the other differences between the data presented in the National PFAS Data Explorer and Version 1, which states currently have access to are as follows:

- Data retrievals will be done using NCCT's Structure list published in November. Using this list might pick up a few more records from the various data systems.
- Explorer will not present Points of Interest (facilities that might be handling/using PFAS chemicals)
- Explorer will replace the Superfund data with Places of Interest, which would include Superfund sites, RCRA-CA sites, and possibly some non-NPL sites where EPA is involved (we understand that data calls are out for NPL and RCRA-CA)
- Ambient sampling will expand from just fish tissue to PFAS samples of all media that are housed in the Water Quality Portal
- UCMR3 no changes

- TSCA Chemical Data Reporting (production and imports) no changes
- NPDES Discharge Monitoring no changes

Andrew Stoeckle | VP, information and Analysis | Eastern Research Group | o. 781.674.7261 c.

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

From: Buckley, Timothy < Buckley. Timothy@epa.gov>

Sent: Friday, November 22, 2019 10:59 AM

To: Barrette, Michael Barrette, Michael@epa.gov">Barrette, Michael@epa.gov; Montilla, Alex Montilla, Alex Montilla, Alex@epa.gov>

Cc: Andrew Stoeckle < Andrew. Stoeckle@erg.com >; Gillespie, Andrew < Gillespie. Andrew@epa.gov >

Subject: RE: Potential reorganization of PFAS tools and pages...

Mike,

This sounds reasonable as long as there are no new data layers in the new state version that will create issues for OW or OLEM. We don't want the program offices to think that we are barreling ahead without addressing their concerns. UCMR3 is represented by zip code in both versions, right? Can we anticipate that any of the new data in "Places of Interest" will create state concerns that will fall to OW or OLEM?

I think our AA is needing time for our communications to catch up.

Tim

From: Barrette, Michael < Barrette. Michael@epa.gov>

Sent: Friday, November 22, 2019 10:36 AM

To: Buckley, Timothy < Buckley. Timothy@epa.gov>; Montilla, Alex < Montilla. Alex@epa.gov>

Cc: Andrew Stoeckle <Andrew.Stoeckle@erg.com>; Gillespie, Andrew <Gillespie.Andrew@epa.gov>

Subject: Potential reorganization of PFAS tools and pages...

In light of delays around getting the AA meetings scheduled and with an expected release later than we thought, I wanted to float this idea.

Currently, we have three versions of the tool.

VERSION 1. We have the old state/EPA PFAS Analytic Tools that are getting obsolete.

VERSION 2. We have the stable, test version we have sent for review to EPA staff.

VERSION 3. We have the development version, which is where all the most recent updates can changes are.

I think we should at some point replace VERSION 1 and stop supporting it

Right before Christmas, I think we should update VERSION 2 with all new features that are in VERSION 3. This is when we think all the new "Places of Interest" data will be available.

Then I think we turn off VERSION 1 and replace it with VERSION 2 – which will give EPA and states access to the planned public version. That will allow states to get used to using the "public" version before it goes out. And we may get a few more comments and head off problems.

Separately, we have a "PFAS Navigation Page" associated with our EPA/State version. I think we should completely revamp that page and make it a home page for data sets and tools that are being maintained for non-public use. Specifically the data and apps that ERG developed that let users explore industries that may have been using PFAS.

Let me know thoughts.